



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

WASTE PREVENTION BY INDIVIDUAL METERS VERSUS DISTRICT METERS¹

BY R. O. WYNNE-ROBERTS

There are many cases where it would be difficult to make an equitable charge for water consumed unless the quantity was measured. These are industries, institutions, premises requiring water in very small quantities for sanitary purposes and large consumers. But the large majority of consumers do not actually need much water, although it is well known that an inordinate quantity is used or misused. This has arisen in some instances from the fact that it was considered more economical to supply than to check, in other cases it is due to indifferent management and neglect until the waste has created serious disabilities on the part of some of the water authorities.

There is now a general movement for the conservation of water and the principal means taken is to install individual meters on all water connections. The object of this short paper will be to discuss the question as to whether the general installation of individual meters or the provision of district meters is to be advocated.

Waste of water must be eliminated as far as practicable and economical, for the keynote of successful management of all business is to stop leaks of all kinds.

A water works system loaded with a high rate of supply is not unlike a ship which has a constantly leaky water ballast, for neither the water works nor the ship can be operated to its full legitimate capacity, nor made to be revenue producing to the extent it should. The proprietors of the water works who propose to install a general meter system so as to reduce some of the leaks may be compared with the shipowners who have some of the leaks in the ship's hull repaired and pumps provided to reduce the water ballast. Carrying the analogy still further, the water works proprietors who install bulk and district meters, a few individual meters and by means of efficient inspection reduce all leaks to a minimum are similar in

¹ Read at first annual meeting Illinois Section, American Water Works Association, March 10, 1915.

purpose to the shipowners who have the ship's hull thoroughly overhauled, and ample pumps provided to keep the water ballast empty. In both these cases the property is maintained in an efficient condition and made to produce the maximum revenue at the minimum cost.

Most of the cities are relatively small and if it be assumed that the average size is about 20,000 population, it will doubtless represent a large number of towns. The unrestricted total consumption is say 150 gallons per head daily. In such a city there would be about 3500 water connections and if all are metered there would be that number to fix and maintain. The water authority to secure full control over the meters would buy the meters and rent them out.

Adopting the careful analysis of costs made by the Wisconsin Railroad Commission and mentioned in their report of 1911, the average annual cost is as follows:

Labor moving and resetting meters.....		\$0.18
Labor meter and fittings department.....		0.34
Supplies, meter and fittings department.....		0.12
Maintenance of meter.....		0.35
Reading meters and delivering bills.....		1.35
		<hr/>
		2.34
To these must be added		
Depreciation, say.....	\$0.50	
Interest.....	0.36	0.86
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Per meter per year.....		\$3.20

The cost of metering will fall on the consumers and in this case it would amount to \$11,900 per annum.

The cost of filtered water at the works will be about \$3.50 per million gallons.

Individual meters measure the water entering the premises and consequently constitute good waste detectors. The saving in the quantity of water used before and after installing meters varies greatly, but in all cities metering has effected a tangible reduction in the quantity of water pumped. Published figures are often misleading because the diminution in the quantity consumed by the people is often small compared with the losses on the mains. When the total quantity of water registered by individual meters is ascer-

tained, the unaccounted for losses amount to from 20 to 66 per cent of the total quantity pumped. It will be fair to expect 33 per cent reduction in the pumpage by universal metering. Supposing the head on the pumps is 100 feet and price of coal is \$4 per ton then the saving in fuel due to diminished pumpage will be about \$1200 per annum. The cost of labor will remain practically the same.

District meters could be installed in this city for about \$3000, a small number of individual meters would be required as explained in the commencement, and inspectors would be employed to make a systematic and frequent survey of the whole water works. The annual expenditure in this case would be as follows:

Interest on \$3000.....	\$150	
Depreciation.....	150	\$300
<hr/>		
2 inspectors.....	\$2500	
Clerks, etc.....	1500	
<hr/>		4000
250 meters at \$3.40.....		850
Miscellaneous.....		250
<hr/>		
Total.....		\$5400

By careful and diligent inspection the pumpage should be gradually reduced to one-half and the saving in fuel on the same basis as before would be \$1800.

The above estimate is liberal. The writer had control of water works and by means of this method was able to supply the public on less than 30 gallons per head daily for all purposes, the railroad companies were large consumers. The London Water Board use from 1300 to 1400 Deacon meters to detect waste and by discovering and localizing leaks the average supply is under 45 gallons per head daily. By means of pitometer survey at Ottawa, Canada, leaks amounting to 5,300,000 gallons per day were remedied in a short time. Washington has in seven years detected leaks aggregating 40,000,000 gallons daily. Three permanent self-recording district meters have been installed in Regina, but systematic inspections have not yet been started. The record obtained from the district meters enable the officials to detect leaks and to localize them and by this means keep the consumption at a reasonable figure. Such meters and records are a check on the pumps and on the daily occurrences in the district controlled.

The reduction of waste is equivalent to increasing the capacity of the water works to meet growing demands and at a reduced cost or with increased revenue.

Even in cities where the rate is fixed by the Public Utility Commissions and individual meters are compulsory, district meters are essential to provide an efficient and economical system. With both individual meters and self-recording district meters the quantity of water necessary to satisfy all requirements should not exceed 50 gallons per head per day, except in special cases where the industrial consumption is abnormally high.

The financial situation as estimated in the foregoing illustrations will be as follows:

Individual meters

Cost of operation.....	\$11,900	Saving in fuel.....	\$1200	
		Value of water		
		saved.....	\$1277	\$2477
			<hr/>	

District meters

Cost of operation.....	\$5,400	Saving in fuel.....	\$1800	
		Value of water		
		saved.....	\$1914	\$3714
			<hr/>	

It will be observed that in the case of individual meters the estimated cost of operation and the savings effected is about \$9423, which is equivalent to about \$2.70 extra cost per water-paying consumer per annum, whilst in the case of district meters the corresponding figures are \$1786 and 52 cents respectively.